



Docket No.: M4065.0628/P628-B  
(PATENT)

AF  
Ifw

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:  
Eric R. Fossum

Application No.: 10/827,379

Confirmation No.: 3781

Filed: April 20, 2004

Art Unit: 2814

For: TWO-TRANSISTOR PIXEL WITH BURIED  
RESET CHANNEL AND METHOD OF  
FORMATION

Examiner: M. D. Pizarro Crespo

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**  
**AFTER FINAL ACTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116**

MS AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Claims 35-37, 40, 42-43, and 45 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhao et al. (US 6,339,248) in view of Kochi et al. (US 6,670,990). This rejection is respectfully traversed. In order to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness "the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations." M.P.E.P. §2142. Neither Zhao et al. nor Kochi et al., even when considered in combination, teaches or suggests all limitations of independent claims 35 or 42.